


## Santander

## Section 1: Key consolidated data

| Balance Sheet ( $\mathrm{Ch} \$ \mathrm{mn}$ ) | Jun'17 | Jun'16 | \% Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total assets | 34,806,430 | 36,123,767 | (3.6\%) |
| Gross customer loans | 27,156,024 | 26,400,567 | 2.9\% |
| Customer deposits | 19,255,177 | 20,236,094 | (4.8\%) |
| Customer funds | 24,818,118 | 25,117,544 | (1.2\%) |
| Total shareholders' equity | 2,895,250 | 2,704,685 | 7.0\% |
| Income Statement (Ch\$mn) | 1H17 | 1H16 | \% Change |
| Net interest income | 662,609 | 641,310 | 3.3\% |
| Net operating profit before provisions for loan losses | 908,475 | 840,154 | 8.1\% |
| Provision for loan losses | $(150,372)$ | $(161,362)$ | (6.8\%) |
| Op expenses excluding impairment and other op. exp. | $(343,291)$ | $(338,057)$ | 1.5\% |
| Income before tax | 362,070 | 293,578 | 23.3\% |
| Net income attributable to equity holders of the Bank | 292,811 | 241,739 | 21.1\% |
| Profitability and efficiency | 1H17 | 1H16 | Change bp |
| Net interest margin (NIM) ${ }^{1}$ | 4.4\% | 4.6\% | -20 |
| Efficiency ratio ${ }^{2}$ | 40.2\% | 42.7\% | -250 |
| Return on avg. equity | 20.3\% | 17.7 \% | +260 |
| Return on avg. assets | 1.6\% | 1.4\% | +20 |
| Core Capital ratio | 10.7\% | 10.1\% | +60 |
| BIS ratio | 13.7\% | 13.0\% | +70 |
| Return on RWA | 2.1\% | 1.8\% | +30 |
| Asset quality ratios (\%) | Jun'17 | Jun'16 | Change bp |
| NPL ratio ${ }^{3}$ | 2.2\% | 2.1\% | +10 |
| Coverage of NPLs ratio ${ }^{4}$ | 136.2\% | 140.5\% | -430 |
| Cost of credit ${ }^{5}$ | 1.1\% | 1.3\% | -20 |
| Structure (\#) | Jun'17 | Jun'16 | Change (\%) |
| Branches | 406 | 468 | (13.2\%) |
| ATMs | 1,059 | 1,484 | (28.6\%) |
| Employees | 11,068 | 11,653 | (5.0\%) |
| Market capitalization | Jun'17 | Jun'16 | Change (\%) |
| Net income per share (Ch\$) | 1.55 | 1.28 | 21.1\% |
| Net income per ADR (US\$) | 0.94 | 0.77 | 22.1\% |
| Stock price (Ch\$/per share) | 42.24 | 31.92 | 32.3\% |
| ADR price (US\$ per share) | 25.41 | 19.37 | 31.2\% |
| Market capitalization (US\$mn) | 11,971 | 9,126 | 31.2\% |
| Shares outstanding (millions) | 188,446.1 | 188,446.1 | --\% |
| ADRs (1 ADR $=400$ shares) (millions) | 471.1 | 471.1 | --\% |

[^0]
## Section 2: Summary of results ${ }^{1}$

## Net income increased 5.7\% QoQ and 29.4\% YoY in 2Q17. ROAE reached 20.8\% in 2Q17

Net income attributable to shareholders in 2 Q 17 totaled $\mathrm{Ch} \$ 150,436$ million (Ch\$0.80 per share and US\$0.48/ADR), increasing $5.7 \%$ QoQ and $29.4 \%$ YoY. The Bank's ROAE ${ }^{2}$ expanded in the quarter 370bp compared to 2 Q16 and 130bp compared to 1Q17, reaching 20.8\%, above initial guidance. Net income attributable to shareholders in 1 H 17 totaled Ch\$292,811 million, increasing 21.1\%, with a ROAE of 20.3\% YTD.

The rise in the Bank's ROAE was driven by a solid growth of client revenues leveraged on a lower cost of credit and improved efficiency. This is reflected in the $31.6 \%$ YoY rise in net contribution from our business segments ${ }^{3}$. This was led by a $47.2 \%$ increase in net contribution from our Retail Banking segment ${ }^{4}$.


NIM ${ }^{5}$, net of risk rises 36 bp to $3.6 \%$.
Total NIM was 4.6\% in 2Q17, up 40bp QoQ YoY and 1bp YoY despite lower YoY inflation. This positive evolution of net interest margins in the quarter was mainly driven by our business segments. Net interest income from our business segments (Client NII) increased $3.0 \%$ QoQ and $11.4 \%$ YoY, with all business segments showing strong NII growth QoQ and YoY, despite average loans from reporting segments decreasing $0.8 \%$ QoQ and increasing slightly YoY by $4.1 \%$. Client NIMs (defined as Client NII divided by average loans), which excludes the impact of inflation and the ALCO's liquidity portfolio, rose to $5.0 \%$ in 2 Q17 compared to $4.8 \%$ in 1 Q17 and $4.7 \%$ in 2Q16. The Bank has managed to gradually improve client NIMs by selectively growing the loan book and a lower cost of funding in line with lower Central Bank interest rates.

Even greater improvement was seen in the NIM net of risk ${ }^{6}$ for 2Q17, which reached $3.6 \%$, up 36bp from $1 Q 17$ and 16bp from 2Q16. This also led to Client NIM net of risk increasing to the highest level in last five quarters. In general asset quality indicators remained stable in the quarter. Total NPLs fell by $1.3 \%$ in 2 Q 17 to $\mathrm{Ch} \$ 587,107$ compared to 1 Q17 however the NPL ratio remained stable at $2.2 \%$, in particular, the NPL ratio of consumer loans decreased from $2.4 \%$ in 1 Q17 to $2.0 \%$ in 2 Q 17 in line with the Bank's loan growth strategy of steering away from the low end of the consumer market. Similarly, the Bank's Expected loss ratio or Risk index, measured as Loan Loss Allowances (LLA) over

[^1]total loans also remained stable at $2.9 \%$ as of June 2017. As economic growth remained sluggish in the quarter there was some minor deterioration of the impaired loan ratio from $6.1 \%$ as of March 2017 to $6.3 \%$ as of June 2017. Provision for loan losses increased $3.6 \%$ QoQ due to a slight increase in impaired loans in the quarter, and decreased $8.3 \%$ YoY in 2Q17, reflecting the change in the loan mix as part of the de-risking strategy enforced by the Bank which has led to a cost of credit $^{7}$ of $1.1 \%$ in 2Q17, an improvement on the $1.3 \%$ in 2 Q16.


Loan growth slows in the quarter as the Bank remains focused on profitability
Total loans decreased 1.1\% QoQ and increased $2.9 \%$ YoY in $2 Q 17$ as a consequence of the Bank's focus on profitability and risk coupled with slower economic growth. Loans to individuals continue to lead growth and expanded 0.4\% QoQ and $5.2 \%$ YoY. Growth in loans to SMES has also been orientated on growing the loan book among larger, less risky SMEs and as with Middle-market companies and GCB, the Bank has continued to focus on generating non-lending revenues.

## Rate cut and lower loan growth drives shift of time deposits towards fee generating mutual funds

In the quarter, the Bank focused on lowering its funding costs and optimizing liquidity levels. Lower demand for loans resulted in a spike in the Bank's liquidity levels. In order to optimize this and to improve funding costs, the Bank lowered its deposits rates in tandem with the lower Central Bank rates. At the same time, the Bank stimulated a greater flow of customer funds to mutual funds, which in a lower rate environment is a more attractive option for clients and which generates higher fee income. As a result, total deposits decreased $4.2 \%$ QoQ and $4.8 \%$ YoY. On the other hand, Mutual funds brokered by the Bank increased 1.3\% QoQ and 14.0\% YoY.

## Greater customer loyalty \& satisfaction fueling solid fee growth

In 2Q17 fee income decreased 1.4\% QoQ and increased 12.5\% YoY. In retail banking, fees increased 1.7\% QoQ and $8.1 \%$ YoY, mainly driven by rising client loyalty and cross-selling. Loyal individual customers ${ }^{8}$ in the High-income segment grew $12.1 \%$ YoY. By products, the biggest contributors to fee income growth were collection of mortgage related insurance fees and asset management brokerage fees.

[^2]
## Sustained rise in productivity. Efficiency ratio ${ }^{9} 40.4 \%$

The Bank's efficiency ratio reached $40.4 \%$ in $2 Q 17$ compared to $43.8 \%$ in the same period of last year. Operating expenses grew $3.4 \%$ QoQ and $1.4 \%$ YoY. The relatively low cost growth, below the YoY variation of the CPI Index, despite the fact that the most of our expenses are adjusted by inflation, is a direct consequence of the various initiatives that the Bank has been implementing to improve commercial productivity and efficiency. Personnel expenses increased $0.1 \%$ YoY in 2Q17. The slight increase in personnel expenses is mainly due to the rise in salaries as they are adjusted according to CPI inflation. However, this has been offset by a $5.0 \%$ decrease in total headcount in the last twelve months. Administrative expenses decreased 1.1\% YoY in 2Q17. The Bank's digital transformation and new branch formats has led to greater efficiencies enabling the Bank to close $13.2 \%$ of the branch network and eliminate $28.6 \%$ of our ATMs in the past twelve months.
Core capital ${ }^{10}$ ratio reached $10.7 \%$ as of June 2017.

The Bank's ROE in 2Q17 reached $20.8 \%$ and $20.3 \%$ for the first half of the year. The Bank's Core capital ratio reached $10.7 \%$ at the end of 2Q17, 70bp higher than the levels as of June 2016. Compared to 1Q17, core capital levels only descended 20bp, despite the payment of the Bank's annual dividend in April equivalent to $70 \%$ of 2016 earnings. The total BIS ratio ${ }^{11}$ reached $13.6 \%$ as of June 2017. The YoY growth of RWA was $1.0 \%$ compared to $2.9 \%$ for loans.

[^3]
## Summary of Quarterly Results

(Ch\$ Million)

|  | Quarter |  |  | Change\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2Q17 | 1Q17 | 2Q16 | 2Q17 / 2Q16 | 2Q17 / 1Q17 |
| Net interest income | 344,034 | 318,575 | 328,437 | 4.7\% | 8.0\% |
| Net fee and commission income | 71,838 | 72,823 | 63,872 | 12.5\% | (1.4\%) |
| Total financial transactions, net | 35,405 | 36,732 | 27,861 | 27.1\% | (3.6\%) |
| Provision for loan losses | $(76,510)$ | (73,862) | $(83,436)$ | (8.3\%) | 3.6\% |
| Operating expenses (excluding Impairment and Other operating expenses) | $(174,511)$ | $(168,780)$ | $(172,051)$ | 1.4\% | 3.4\% |
| Impairment, Other operating income and expenses, net | $(19,297)$ | (5,982) | $(27,447)$ | (29.7\%) | 222.6\% |
| Operating income | 180,959 | 179,506 | 137,235 | 31.9\% | 0.8\% |
| Net income attributable to shareholders of the Bank | 150,436 | 142,375 | 116,300 | 29.4\% | 5.7\% |
| Net income/share (Ch\$) | 0.80 | 0.76 | 0.62 | 29.4\% | 5.3\% |
| Net income/ADR (US\$) ${ }^{1}$ | 0.48 | 0.46 | 0.37 | 29.7\% | 4.3\% |
| Total loans | 27,156,024 | 27,452,651 | 26,400,567 | 2.9\% | (1.1\%) |
| Deposits | 19,255,177 | 20,108,828 | 20,236,094 | (4.8\%) | (4.2\%) |
| Shareholders' equity | 2,895,250 | 2,968,491 | 2,704,685 | 7.0\% | (2.5\%) |
| Net interest margin | 4.6\% | 4.2\% | 4.6\% |  |  |
| Efficiency ratio ${ }^{2}$ | 40.4\% | 40.0\% | 43.8\% |  |  |
| Return on equity ${ }^{3}$ | 20.8\% | 19.5\% | 17.1\% |  |  |
| NPL / Total loans ${ }^{4}$ | 2.2\% | 2.2\% | 2.1\% |  |  |
| Coverage NPLs | 136.2\% | 135.5\% | 140.5\% |  |  |
| Cost of credit ${ }^{5}$ | 1.1\% | 1.1\% | 1.3\% |  |  |
| Core Capital ratio ${ }^{6}$ | 10.7\% | 10.8\% | 10.1\% |  |  |
| BIS ratio | 13.6\% | 13.7\% | 13.0\% |  |  |
| Branches | 406 | 415 | 468 |  |  |
| ATMs | 1,059 | 1,288 | 1,484 |  |  |
| Employees | 11,068 | 11,229 | 11,653 |  |  |

1. The change in earnings per ADR may differ from the change in earnings per share due to exchange rate movements. Earnings per ADR was calculated using the Observed Exchange Rate (Exchange rate for the last trading day of the quarter taken from the Central Bank of Chile) for each period.
2. Efficiency ratio: Operating expenses excluding impairment and other operating expenses divided by Operating income. Operating income $=$ Net interest income + Net fee and commission income + Total financial transactions, net + Other operating income minus other operating expenses.
3. Return on average equity: annualized quarterly net income attributable to shareholders divided by Average equity attributable to shareholders in the quarter. Averages calculated using monthly figures.
4. NPLs: Non-performing loans: total outstanding gross amount of loans with at least one installment 90 days or more overdue.
5. Cost of credit: annualized provision for loan losses divided by quarterly average total loans. Averages calculated using monthly figures.
6. Core capital ratio $=$ Shareholders' equity divided by risk-weighted assets according to SBIF BIS I definitions.

## Section 3: YTD Results by reporting segment

## Net contribution from business segments rises 31.6\% YoY in 6M17

## Year to date results

(Ch\$ Million)

|  | Retail Banking ${ }^{1}$ | Middle market ${ }^{\text {² }}$ | Global corporate banking ${ }^{3}$ | Total segments ${ }^{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net interest income | 485,587 | 131,741 | 49,739 | 667,067 |
| Change YoY | 8.0\% | 11.6\% | 10.4\% | 8.9\% |
| Net fee and commission income | 105,262 | 18,260 | 16,543 | 140,065 |
| Change YoY | 11.0\% | (4.4\%) | 23.4\% | 10.0\% |
| Core revenues | 590,849 | 150,001 | 66,282 | 807,132 |
| Change YoY | 8.6\% | 9.4\% | 13.4\% | 9.1\% |
| Total financial transactions, net | 9,452 | 6,748 | 30,689 | 46,889 |
| Change YoY | (7.8\%) | (35.4\%) | 23.1\% | 2.8\% |
| Provision for loan losses | $(144,936)$ | $(4,983)$ | 1,785 | $(148,134)$ |
| Change YoY | (9.1\%) | (57.6\%) | (17.1\%) | (12.4\%) |
| Net operating profit from business segments ${ }^{5}$ | 455,365 | 151,766 | 98,756 | 705,887 |
| Change YoY | 15.3\% | 11.8\% | 15.4\% | 14.5\% |
| Operating expenses ${ }^{6}$ | $(260,974)$ | $(46,062)$ | $(29,665)$ | $(336,701)$ |
| Change YoY | (0.8\%) | 2.7\% | 5.9\% | 0.2\% |
| Net contribution from business segments | 194,391 | 105,704 | 69,091 | 369,186 |
| Change YoY | 47.2\% | 16.2\% | 20.1\% | 31.6\% |

1. Retail consists of individuals and SMEs with annual sales below $\mathrm{Ch} \$ 2,000$ million.
2. Middle-market is made up of companies with annual sales exceeding Ch\$2,000 million. It also serves institutions such as universities, government entities, local and regional governments and companies engaged in the real estate industry with annual sales exceeding Ch\$800 million.
3. Global Corporate Banking, GCB: consists of foreign and domestic multinational companies with sales over Ch\$10,000 million.
4. Excludes the results from Corporate Activities.
5. Net op. profit is defined as Net interest income + Net fee and commission income + Total financial transactions - provision for loan losses.
6. Operating expenses = personnel expenses + administrative expenses + depreciation.

Net contribution from our business segments rose $31.6 \%$ YoY in 6 M 17 compared to the same period of 2016. These results exclude our Corporate Activities, which include, among other items, the impact of inflation on results, the impact of movements in the exchange rate in our provision expense and the results from our liquidity portfolio.

The net contribution from Retail banking increased 47.2\% YoY. Core revenues (net interest income + fees) increased $8.6 \%$ YoY driven by improvements in customer loyalty that fueled fee income and a positive evolution of NIMs in the segment. This rise in revenues was furthered leveraged on the $9.1 \%$ decrease in provision expenses due to the shift in the loan mix towards the middle-high income segments and larger SMEs and a $0.8 \%$ cost reduction as productivity continued to rise.

Net contribution from the Middle-market increased 16.2\% YoY in 6M17. Core revenues in this segment grew 9.4\%, led by an $11.6 \%$ increase in net interest revenue, and a $57.6 \%$ decrease in provision for loan losses. This was achieved despite an environment of low loan growth, reflecting this segments focus on non-lending revenues. This was offset by lower financial transactions.

Net contribution from GCB rose $20.1 \%$ in 6 M 17 . Core revenues increased $13.4 \%$ YoY driven by a $23.4 \%$ rise in fees. The Bank's strength in cash management services and financial advisory fees has driven income in this segment.

## Section 4: Loans, funding and capital

## Loans

## Loan growth slows in the quarter as the Bank remains focused on profitability

Total loans decreased 1.1\% QoQ and increased 2.9\% YoY in 2Q17. During the quarter, slower economic growth coupled with the Bank's focus on profitability and risk, temporarily lowered loan growth. As can be observed in Section 3, results from the majority of our business segments were strong as the subdued loan growth was more than compensated with strong client margins, fee income, a lower cost of credit and cost control. We expect loan growth to gain momentum by year-end as the speed of economic growth should also begin to recover.

Loans by segment
(Ch\$ Million)

|  | Quarter |  |  | Change\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Jun-17 | Mar-17 | Jun-16 | 2Q17 / 2Q16 | 2Q17 / 1Q17 |
| Total loans to individuals ${ }^{1}$ | 15,005,163 | 14,950,433 | 14,269,861 | 5.2\% | 0.4\% |
| Consumer loans | 4,469,821 | 4,502,447 | 4,239,461 | 5.4\% | (0.7\%) |
| Residential mortgage loans | 8,861,371 | 8,747,324 | 8,321,626 | 6.5\% | 1.3\% |
| SMEs | 3,719,986 | 3,722,927 | 3,538,186 | 5.1\% | (0.1\%) |
| Retail banking | 18,725,149 | 18,673,359 | 17,808,047 | 5.1\% | 0.3\% |
| Middle-market | 6,470,422 | 6,534,707 | 6,205,673 | 4.3\% | (1.0\%) |
| Global Corporate Banking | 1,876,105 | 2,162,457 | 2,303,472 | (18.6\%) | (13.2\%) |
| Total loans ${ }^{2}$ | 27,156,024 | 27,452,650 | 26,400,567 | 2.9\% | (1.1\%) |

1. Includes consumer loans, residential mortgage loans and other commercial loans to individuals.
2. Total loans gross of loan loss allowances. Total loans include other non-segmented loans and includes interbank loans. See Note 3 of the Financial Statements.

Retail banking loans increased $0.3 \%$ QoQ and 5.1\% YoY. Loans to individuals increased $0.4 \%$ QoQ and 5.2\% YoY. Consumer loans decreased 0.7\% QoQ and increased 5.4\% YoY. Mortgage loans increased 1.3\% QoQ and 6.5\% YoY. Loan growth among middle and high-income earners increased $0.7 \%$ QoQ and $6.3 \%$ YoY. Meanwhile, in the low end of the consumer market loans decreased $7.2 \%$ QoQ and $18.4 \%$ YoY. The Bank continued to prioritize growth in less riskier segments in order to maintain healthy asset quality levels in a lower growth environment.

Loans to SMEs decreased 0.1\% QoQ and grew 5.1\% YoY. In this segment, the Bank focused on growing the loan book among larger, less riskier SMEs due to risk considerations and also due to the fact that larger SMEs generate higher non-lending revenues.

Loans in the Middle-market decreased 1.0\% QoQ and increased 4.3\% YoY mainly due to lower demand for loans as the recovery of investment in the Chilean economy has taken longer than expected to rebound. In GCB, loans decreased 13.2\% QoQ and 18.6\% YoY. Apart from lower demand for loans, the Bank continues to focus on profitability and an efficient allocation of its capital over market share concerns. The results from GCB (See Section 3) were positive in 1 H 17 , despite this lower loan growth. This was due to an increase in non-lending revenues such as cash management, investment banking and treasury services for clients. More than $90 \%$ of income in GCB is generated by non-lending sources.

## Funding and Liquidity

## Rate cut and lower loan growth drives shift of time deposits towards fee generating mutual funds

In the quarter, the Bank focused on lowering its funding costs and optimizing liquidity levels. Lower demand for loans resulted in a spike in the Bank's liquidity levels. In order to optimize this and to improve funding costs, the Bank lowered its deposits rates in tandem with the lower Central Bank rates. At the same time, the Bank stimulated a greater flow of customer funds to mutual funds, which in a lower rate environment is a more attractive option for clients and which generates higher fee income. As a result, total deposits decreased 4.2\% QoQ and 4.8\% YoY. On the other hand, Mutual funds brokered by the Bank increased 1.3\% QoQ and 14.0\% YoY.

## Funding

(Ch\$ Million)

|  | Quarter |  |  | Change\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Jun-17 | Mar-17 | Jun-16 | 2 Q17 / 2Q16 | 2Q17 / 1Q17 |
| Demand deposits | 7,195,893 | 7,408,618 | 7,238,303 | (0.6\%) | (2.9\%) |
| Time deposits | 12,059,284 | 12,700,210 | 12,997,791 | (7.2\%) | (5.0\%) |
| Total Deposits | 19,255,177 | 20,108,828 | 20,236,094 | (4.8\%) | (4.2\%) |
| Mutual Funds brokered ${ }^{1}$ | 5,562,941 | 5,489,733 | 4,881,450 | 14.0\% | 1.3\% |
| Bonds | 7,045,748 | 7,411,645 | 6,369,956 | 10.6\% | (4.9\%) |
| Adjusted loans to deposit ratio ${ }^{2}$ | 100.3\% | 95.7\% | 95.1\% |  |  |
| LCR ${ }^{3}$ | 123.1\% | 158.3\% | 135.2\% |  |  |
| NSFR ${ }^{4}$ | 102.9\% | 106.7\% | 106.4\% |  |  |

[^4]This strategy permitted the Bank to improve margins (See Section 5-Net interest income), while maintaining healthy and more efficient liquidity ratios. Both the LCR and NSFR ratios remained above $100 \%$.


[^5]
## Shareholders' equity and regulatory capital

ROAE $^{13}$ surpasses $20 \%$ in 1 H 17 . Core Capital ratio at $10.7 \%$.
Equity
(Ch\$ Million)

|  |  | Quarter | Change\% |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Jun-17 | Mar-17 | Jun-16 | $\mathbf{2 Q 1 7} / \mathbf{2 Q 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 Q 1 7} / \mathbf{1 Q 1 7}$ |
| Capital | 891,303 | 891,303 | 891,303 | $--\%$ | $--\%$ |
| Reserves | $1,781,817$ | $1,640,112$ | $1,640,112$ | $8.6 \%$ | $8.6 \%$ |
| Valuation adjustment | 17,162 | 6,763 | 4,053 | $323.4 \%$ | $153.8 \%$ |
| Retained Earnings: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retained earnings prior periods | - | 472,351 | - | $--\%$ | $--\%$ |
| Income for the period | 292,811 | 142,375 | 241,739 | $21.1 \%$ | $105.7 \%$ |
| Provision for mandatory dividend | $(87,843)$ | $(184,413)$ | $(72,522)$ | $21.1 \%$ | $(52.4 \%)$ |
| Equity attributable to equity holders | $\mathbf{2 , 8 9 5 , 2 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 9 6 8 , 4 9 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 7 0 4 , 6 8 5}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{( 2 . 5 \% )}$ |
| of the Bank | 30,058 | 29,987 | 31,021 | $(3.1 \%)$ | $0.2 \%$ |
| Non-controlling interest | $\mathbf{2 , 9 2 5 , 3 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 9 9 8 , 4 7 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 7 3 5 , 7 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{( 2 . 4 \% )}$ |
| Total Equity | $\mathbf{2 0 . 8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 . 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 . 1 \%}$ |  |  |
| Quarterly ROAE | $\mathbf{2 0 . 3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 . 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 . 7 \%}$ |  |  |
| YTD ROAE |  |  |  |  |  |

Shareholders' equity totaled Ch\$2,895,250 million as of June 30, 2017. The Bank's ROAE in 2Q17 reached $20.8 \%$ and $20.3 \%$ for the first half of the year. The Bank's Core capital ratio ${ }^{14}$ reached $10.7 \%$ at the end of $2 \mathrm{Q} 17,70 \mathrm{bp}$ higher than the levels as of June 2016. Compared to 1Q17, core capital levels only descended 20bp, despite the payment of the Bank's annual dividend in April equivalent to $70 \%$ of 2016 earnings. The total BIS ratio ${ }^{15}$ reached $13.6 \%$ as of June 2017. The YoY growth of RWA was $1.0 \%$ compared to $2.9 \%$ for loans.

Another important development in the quarter was the publication of the new Banking Law, which was sent to Congress. In Annex 1 we provide further detail.

## Capital Adequacy

(Ch\$ Million)

|  | Quarter |  |  |  | Change\% |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Jun-17 | Mar-17 | Jun-16 | 2Q17 / 2Q16 | 2Q17 / 1Q17 |  |
| Tier I (Core Capital) | $2,895,250$ | $2,968,491$ | $2,704,685$ | $7.0 \%$ | $(2.5 \%)$ |  |
| Tier II | 799,032 | 792,549 | 781,772 | $2.2 \%$ | $0.8 \%$ |  |
| Regulatory capital | $\mathbf{3 , 6 9 4 , 2 8 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 7 6 1 , 0 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 4 8 6 , 4 5 7}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{( 1 . 8 \% )}$ |  |
| Risk weighted assets | $27,133,274$ | $27,492,643$ | $26,876,727$ | $1.0 \%$ | $(1.3 \%)$ |  |
| Tier I (Core Capital) ratio | $\mathbf{1 0 . 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 . 8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 . 1 \%}$ |  |  |  |
| BIS ratio | $\mathbf{1 3 . 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 . 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 . 0 \%}$ |  |  |  |

14. Core Capital ratio = Shareholders' equity divided by Risk-weighted Assets (RWA) according to SBIF BIS I definitions.
15. BIS ratio: Regulatory capital divided by RWA.

## Section 5: Analysis of quarterly income statement

## Net interest income

## Total NIM rises to 4.6\% in2Q17 driven by a rise in Client NIMs to 5.0\%.

In 2Q17, Net interest income, NII, increased 8.0\% QoQ and 4.7\% YoY. The Net interest margin, NIM reached 4.6\% compared to $4.2 \%$ in 1 Q17 and $4.6 \%$ in 2Q16. It is important to point out that despite a lower UF inflation in 2 Q17 compared to 2Q16 ( $0.7 \%$ compared to $0.9 \%$ ), the Bank managed to sustain NIMs as a result of strong client NIMs and an effective management of our inflation gap. In order to improve the explanation of margins, we have divided the analysis of Net interest income between Client net interest income and Non-client net interest income ${ }^{16}$.

Net Interest Income / Margin (Ch\$ Million)

|  | Quarter |  |  | Change\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2Q17 | 1Q17 | 2Q16 | 2Q17 / 2Q16 | 2Q17 / 1Q17 |
| Net interest income from business segments | 338,393 | 328,674 | 303,718 | 11.4\% | 3.0\% |
| Non-client net interest income | 5,641 | $(10,099)$ | 24,717 | (77.2\%) | --\% |
| Net interest income | 344,034 | 318,575 | 328,437 | 4.7\% | 8.0\% |
| Average interest-earning assets | 29,917,800 | 30,381,349 | 28,628,066 | 4.5\% | (1.5\%) |
| Average loans from reporting segments ${ }^{1}$ | 27,036,649 | 27,246,674 | 25,980,829 | 4.1\% | (0.8\%) |
| Avg. net gap in inflation indexed (UF) instruments ${ }^{2}$ | 4,183,995 | 4,350,466 | 4,765,626 | (12.2\%) | (3.8\%) |
| Interest earning asset yield ${ }^{3}$ | 7.4\% | 6.9\% | 7.8\% |  |  |
| Cost of funds ${ }^{4}$ | 2.8\% | 2.8\% | 3.3\% |  |  |
| Client net interest margin ${ }^{5}$ | 5.0\% | 4.8\% | 4.7\% |  |  |
| Net interest margin (NIM) ${ }^{6}$ | 4.6\% | 4.2\% | 4.6\% |  |  |
| Quarterly inflation rate ${ }^{7}$ | 0.7\% | 0.5\% | 0.9\% |  |  |
| Central Bank reference rate | 2.5\% | 3.0\% | 3.5\% |  |  |
| 1. Average loans from business segments. Excludes loans not assigned to any business segment <br> 2. The average quarterly difference between assets and liabilities indexed to the Unidad de Fomento (UF), an inflation indexed unit. <br> 3. Interest income divided by average interest earning assets. <br> 4. Interest expense divided by sum of average interest bearing liabilities and demand deposits. <br> 5. Annualized Net interest income from business segments divided by average loans. <br> 6. Annualized Net interest income divided by average interest earning assets. <br> 7. Inflation measured as the variation of the Unidad de Fomento in the quarter. |  |  |  |  |  |

Client NII. In 2Q17, Net interest income from our business segments (Client NII) increased 3.0\% QoQ and 11.4\% YoY. Average loans from reporting segments decreased 0.8\% QoQ and increased 4.1\% YoY. Client NIMs (defined as Client NII divided by average loans), which excludes the impact of inflation and the ALCO's liquidity portfolio, rose to $5.0 \%$ in 2Q17 compared to $4.8 \%$ in 1 Q17 and $4.7 \%$ in 2Q16. The Bank has managed to gradually improve client NIMs by increasing the retail loan book and specifically in the quarter, lower funding.

[^6]
## Santander

Non-client NII. The variation of the Unidad de Fomento (an inflation indexed currency unit or UF) in 2 Q17 reached $0.7 \%$ compared to $0.5 \%$ in $1 Q 17$ and $0.9 \%$ in 2Q16. The Bank has more assets than liabilities linked to inflation and, as a result, margins go up when inflation accelerates and vice-versa. Currently our sensitivity to a 100 bp shift in inflation is approximately 15bp of NIM. On a QoQ basis, the rise in non-client net interest income is due to the higher inflation rate in 2Q17 compared to 1Q17. Compared to 2Q16 non-client net interest income decreased $77.2 \%$ due to the lower inflation rate between those two periods. It is important to note that despite a lower UF inflation in 2 Q17 compared to 2Q16, the Bank managed to sustain total NIMs as a result of: (i) strong client NIMs, as mentioned above driven by the cut in the Central Bank's reference rate to $2.5 \%$ in the quarter. The Bank's liabilities, mainly time deposits, have a shorter duration than assets, so a 100bp average yearly fall in short-term interest rates should result in an approximately 12 bp rise in NIMs. Therefore, deposit costs should continue to fall as the lower rate environment is absorbed and; (iii) normalization of our liquidity levels by lowering the amount of low yielding interest earning assets and positioning the Bank's balance sheet to benefit from a falling interest rate environment.

Going forward, Client NIMs should remain stable at current levels. On the other hand, the UF inflation rate in 3 Q17 should be close to $0 \%$ and total UF inflation in 2017 should reach approximately $2 \%$, so total NIMs should temporarily come down in 3Q17. This will be partially offset by lower funding costs.


## Asset quality and provision for loan losses

## Stable asset quality indicators in the quarter. Cost of credit at 1.1\%

In general asset quality indicators remained stable in the quarter. On the one hand the NPL ratio remained at 2.2\% in 2 Q17 compared to $2.2 \%$ in $1 Q 17$ and $2.1 \%$ in 2Q16, in line with the Bank's loan growth strategy of steering away from the low end of the consumer market. Similarly, the Bank's Expected loss ratio or Risk index, measured as Loan Loss Allowances (LLA) over total loans also remained stable at 2.9\% as of June 2017. As economic growth remained sluggish in the quarter there was some minor deterioration of the impaired loan ratio from $6.1 \%$ as of March 2017 to 6.3\% as of June 2017.

Santander

Provision for loan losses (Ch\$ Million)

|  | Quarter |  |  | Change\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2017 | 1017 | 2016 | 2017 / 2016 | 2017 / 1017 |
| Gross provisions | $(49,898)$ | $(43,056)$ | $(54,187)$ | (7.9\%) | 15.9\% |
| Charge-offs ${ }^{1}$ | $(47,379)$ | $(50,124)$ | $(50,535)$ | (6.2\%) | (5.5\%) |
| Gross provisions and charge-offs | $(97,277)$ | $(93,180)$ | $(104,722)$ | (7.1\%) | 4.4\% |
| Loan loss recoveries | 20,767 | 19,318 | 21,286 | (2.4\%) | 7.5\% |
| Provision for loan losses | $(76,510)$ | $(73,862)$ | $(83,436)$ | (8.3\%) | 3.6\% |
| Cost of credit ${ }^{2}$ | 1.1\% | 1.1\% | 1.3\% | -12bp | +4bp |
| Total loans ${ }^{3}$ | 27,156,024 | 27,452,651 | 26,400,567 | 2.9\% | (1.1\%) |
| Total Loan loss allowances (LLAs) | $(799,442)$ | $(806,005)$ | $(795,405)$ | 0.5\% | (0.8\%) |
| Non-performing loans ${ }^{4}$ (NPLs) | 587,107 | 594,855 | 566,177 | 3.7\% | (1.3\%) |
| NPLs consumer loans | 90,524 | 106,597 | 88,991 | 1.7\% | (15.1\%) |
| NPLs commercial loans | 338,728 | 327,342 | 318,324 | 6.4\% | 3.5\% |
| NPLs residential mortgage loans | 157,855 | 160,916 | 158,862 | (0.6\%) | (1.9\%) |
| Impaired loans ${ }^{5}$ | 1,705,257 | 1,667,145 | 1,645,082 | 3.7\% | 2.3\% |
| Impaired consumer loans | 309,040 | 299,071 | 278,756 | 10.9\% | 3.3\% |
| Impaired commercial loans | 966,085 | 951,514 | 953,733 | 1.3\% | 1.5\% |
| Impaired residential mortgage loans | 430,132 | 416,560 | 412,593 | 4.3\% | 3.3\% |
| Expected loss ratio ${ }^{6}$ (LLA / Total loans) | 2.9\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% |  |  |
| NPL / Total loans | 2.2\% | 2.2\% | 2.1\% |  |  |
| NPL / consumer loans | 2.0\% | 2.4\% | 2.1\% |  |  |
| NPL / commercial loans | 2.5\% | 2.4\% | 2.3\% |  |  |
| NPL / residential mortgage loans | 1.8\% | 1.8\% | 1.9\% |  |  |
| Impaired loans / total loans | 6.3\% | 6.1\% | 6.2\% |  |  |
| Impaired consumer loan ratio | 6.9\% | 6.6\% | 6.6\% |  |  |
| Impaired commercial loan ratio | 7.1\% | 6.9\% | 7.0\% |  |  |
| Impaired mortgage loan ratio | 4.9\% | 4.8\% | 5.0\% |  |  |
| Coverage of NPLs ${ }^{7}$ | 136.2\% | 135.5\% | 140.5\% |  |  |
| Coverage of NPLs non-mortgage ${ }^{8}$ | 172.4\% | 172.1\% | 179.2\% |  |  |
| Coverage of consumer NPLs | 328.8\% | 282.0\% | 306.7\% |  |  |
| Coverage of commercial NPLs | 130.6\% | 136.3\% | 143.6\% |  |  |
| Coverage of mortgage NPLs | 37.5\% | 36.8\% | 41.0\% |  |  |

1. Charge-offs corresponds to the direct charge-offs and are net of the reversal of provisions already established on charged-off loans.
2. Annualized provision for loan losses / quarterly average total loans. Averages are calculated using monthly figures.
3. Includes interbank loans.
4. Total outstanding gross amount of loans with at least one installment 90 days or more overdue.
5. Include: (a) for loans individually evaluated for impairment: (i) the carrying amount of all loans to clients that are rated C1 through C6 and, (ii) the carrying amount of all loans to an individual client with at least one NPL (which is not a residential mortgage loan past due less than 90 days), regardless of category; and (b) for loans collectively evaluated for impairment, the carrying amount of all loans to a client, when at least one loan to that client is not performing or has been renegotiated.
6. LLA / Total loans. Measures the percentage of loans that banks must provision for given their internal models and the SBIF's guidelines.
7. LLA / NPLs.
8. LLA of commercial and consumer loans / NPLs of commercial and consumer loans.

Provision for loan losses increased 3.6\% QoQ and decreased 8.3\% YoY. The cost of credit in the quarter was 1.1\% compared to $1.1 \%$ in 1 Q17 and $1.3 \%$ in 2Q16. On a QoQ basis, the slight increase in impaired loans drove the rise in provision for loan losses. On a YoY basis, the change in the loan mix continues to be the main force driving down our cost of credit. As a result of the lower cost of credit and the stable Client spreads, Client NIMs, net of risk increased 50bp in 2Q17 compared to 2Q16.

By product provision for loan losses was as follows:

Provision for loan losses
(Ch\$ Million)

|  | Quarter |  |  |  | Change\% |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2Q17 | 1Q17 | 2Q16 | 2Q17 / 2Q16 | 2Q17 / 1Q17 |  |
| Consumer loans | $(47,754)$ | $(51,860)$ | $(51,819)$ | $(7.8 \%)$ | $(7.9 \%)$ |  |
| Commercial loans $^{1}$ | $(26,313)$ | $(21,332)$ | $(35,889)$ | $(26.7 \%)$ | $\mathbf{2 3 . 3 \%}$ |  |
| Residential mortgage loans | $(2,443)$ | $(670)$ | 4,272 | $(157.2 \%)$ | $\mathbf{2 6 4 . 6 \%}$ |  |
| Provision for loan losses | $\mathbf{( 7 6 , 5 1 0 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 7 3 , 8 6 2 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 8 3 , 4 3 6 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 8 . 3 \% )}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 6 \%}$ |  |
| 1. Includes provision for loan losses for contingent loans. |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Provisions for loan losses for consumer loans decreased 7.9\% QoQ and 7.8\% YoY. As mentioned in previous earnings reports, the Bank has been enforcing a strategy of lowering its exposure to the low-end of the consumer loan market and this continues to be the main driving force in the reduction in provision for loan losses in the consumer loan book. At the same time, the consumer loans entering NPL status already have a high coverage ratio and, therefore, the cost of credit for consumer loans did not rise in the quarter. Consumer NPLs reached $2.0 \%$ as of June 2017 compared to $2.4 \%$ in 1 Q17 and $2.1 \%$ as of June 2016. The impaired consumer loan ratio, increased from $6.6 \%$ as of March 2017 to $6.9 \%$ as of June 2017 as the Bank saw a rise in early impaired loans status in line with a weakening job market. Going forward, this could generate a slight rise in the cost of credit for consumer loans, but still within the ranges of our initial guidance. The coverage ratio of consumer loans reached $328.8 \%$ as of June 2017.

Provisions for loan losses for commercial loans increased 23.3\% QoQ and decreased 26.7\% YoY. The QoQ increase was mainly due to high levels of provisions in the SME and Middle-market. Compared to 2Q16, the lower provision expense was due to the abnormally high level of charge-offs recognized in the Middle-market last year. The commercial NPL ratio reached $2.5 \%$ in 2 Q 17 compared to $2.4 \%$ in 1 Q 17 and $2.3 \%$ in 2Q16. The Coverage ratio of commercial NPLs reached $130.6 \%$ as of June 2017.

Provisions for loan losses for residential mortgage loans totaled a loss of Ch $\$ 2,443$ million in the quarter. The Impaired mortgage loan ratio reached 4.9\% in 2Q17, 10bp higher QoQ and 10bp lower on a YoY comparison. The NPL ratio of mortgage loan was stable at 1.8\%. The Coverage ratio of mortgage NPLs reached $37.5 \%$ as of June 2017. Below we have also included a graph with the evolution of the loan-to-value (LTV) of our mortgage loans of the incoming loans.


## Net fee and commission income

## Greater customer loyalty \& satisfaction fueling solid fee growth

In 2Q17 fee income decreased 1.4\% QoQ and increased 12.5\% YoY. In retail banking, fees increased 1.7\% QoQ and 8.1\% YoY, mainly driven by rising client loyalty and cross-selling, as well as greater fees from asset management brokerage. Loyal individual customers (clients with $>4$ products plus minimum usage and profitability levels) in the High-income segment grew $12.1 \%$ YoY. Among Mid-income earners, loyal customers increased $3.4 \%$ YoY. By products, the biggest contributors to fee income growth were collection of mortgage related insurance fees and asset management brokerage fees.

## Fee Income by client segment

(Ch\$ Million)

|  | Quarter |  |  | Change\% |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2Q17 | 1Q17 | 2Q16 | 2Q17 / 2Q16 | 2Q17 / 1Q17 |
| Retail banking $^{1}$ | 53,087 | 52,175 | 49,123 | $8.1 \%$ | 1.7\% |
| Middle-market | 9,117 | 9,143 | 9,736 | $(6.4 \%)$ | $(0.3 \%)$ |
| Global corporate banking | 5,901 | 10,642 | 6,321 | $(6.6 \%)$ | $(44.5 \%)$ |
| Others | 3,733 | 863 | $(1,308)$ | $--\%$ | $--\%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{7 1 , 8 3 8}$ | $\mathbf{7 2 , 8 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{6 3 , 8 7 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 . 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{( 1 . 4 \% )}$ |
| Includes fees to individuals and SMEs. |  |  |  |  |  |

Fees in the Middle-market were flat QoQ and decreased $6.4 \%$ YoY as this segment is the most sensitive to the lower economic growth. This was compensated by the rise in customer loyalty in this segment. Loyal Middle-market and SME clients grew $10.3 \%$ YoY.

Fee in GCB fell in the quarter the Bank, but still grew $23.4 \%$ in 1 H 17 . Fees in this segment are deal driven and, therefore, tend to be vary significantly from quarter to quarter. The strength of the Bank in providing value added non-lending services, such as cash management and financial advisory services should continue to drive fee income in this segment.


[^7]By products, the evolution of fees was as follows:
Fee Income by product
(Ch\$ Million)

|  |  | Quarter |  | Change\% |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2Q17 | 1Q17 | 2Q16 | 2Q17 / 2Q16 | 2Q17 / 1Q17 |
| Credit, debit \& ATM card fees | 14,084 | 14,690 | 14,428 | $(2.4 \%)$ | $(4.1 \%)$ |
| Asset management | 10,831 | 10,081 | 9,240 | $17.2 \%$ | $7.4 \%$ |
| Insurance brokerage | 9,209 | 10,057 | 9,847 | $(6.5 \%)$ | $(8.4 \%)$ |
| Guarantees, pledges and other contingent op. | 8,722 | 9,488 | 8,696 | $0.3 \%$ | $(8.1 \%)$ |
| Collection fees | 13,455 | 8,926 | 7,836 | $71.7 \%$ | $50.7 \%$ |
| Checking accounts | 7,802 | 7,920 | 7,953 | $(1.9 \%)$ | $(1.5 \%)$ |
| Brokerage and custody of securities | 2,308 | 2,200 | 1,990 | $16.0 \%$ | $4.9 \%$ |
| Other | 5,427 | 9,461 | 3,882 | $39.8 \%$ | $(42.6 \%)$ |
| Total fees | $\mathbf{7 1 , 8 3 8}$ | $\mathbf{7 2 , 8 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{6 3 , 8 7 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 . 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{( 1 . 4 \% )}$ |

## Total financial transactions, net

Results from Total financial transactions, net was a gain of Ch\$35,405 million in 2Q17, decreasing 3.6\% QoQ and increasing $27.1 \%$ YoY. It is important to point out that the Bank does not run a significant foreign currency gap. The Bank's spot position in foreign currency is hedged with derivatives that are either considered trading derivatives or hedge accounting derivatives. Derivatives that are considered trading are marked-to-market in net income from financial operations. Hedge accounting derivatives are mark-to-market together with the hedged item in net foreign exchange results. This distorts these line items, especially in periods of a strong appreciation or depreciation of the exchange rate.

## Total financial transactions, net

(Ch\$ Million)

|  |  | Quarter | Change\% |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2Q17 | 1Q17 | 2Q16 | 2Q17 / 2Q16 | 2Q17 / 1Q17 |
| Net income (expense) from financial <br> operations $^{1}$ | 3,623 | 1,276 | 45,706 | $(92.1 \%)$ | 183.9\% |
| Net foreign exchange gain $^{2}$ | 31,782 | 35,456 | $(17,846)$ | $--\%$ | $(10.4 \%)$ |
| Total financial transactions, net | $\mathbf{3 5 , 4 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 , 7 3 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 , 8 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 . 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{( 3 . 6 \% )}$ |

1. These results include the realized gains of the Available for sale investment portfolio, realized and unrealized gains and interest revenue generated by Trading investments, gains or losses from the sale of charged-off loans and the realized gains (loss) or mark-to-market of derivatives.
2. The results recorded as Foreign exchange gain mainly include the translation gains or losses of assets and liabilities denominated in foreign currency as well as from our hedge accounting derivatives.

In order to understand more clearly these line items, we present them by business area in the following table:

Total financial transactions, net by business
(Ch\$ Million)

|  | Quarter |  |  | Change\% |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2Q17 | 1Q17 | 2Q16 | 2Q17 / 2Q16 | 2Q17 / 1Q17 |
| Client treasury services | 21,803 | 23,569 | 26,121 | $(16.5 \%)$ | (7.5\%) |
| Non client treasury income $^{1}$ | 13,602 | 13,163 | 1,739 | $682.1 \%$ | 3.3\% |
| Total financ. transactions, net | $\mathbf{3 5 , 4 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 , 7 3 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 , 8 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 . 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{( 3 . 6 \% )}$ |

1. Non client treasury income. These results include the income from sale of loans, including charged-off loans, interest income and the mark-to-market of the Bank's trading portfolio, realized gains from the Bank's available for sale portfolio and other results from our Financial Management Division.

Client treasury services revenues fell $7.5 \%$ QoQ and $16.5 \%$ YoY. This movement of client treasury revenues, which usually makes up the bulk of our treasuring income, reflects the demand on behalf of clients for treasury products mainly for their hedging needs. Given the lower market volatility in the quarter, demand for treasury products declined. Overall, the Bank has had a good first semester in Debt Capital Markets that has also led to cross-selling of products in our market making business, which is reflected as client treasury income. In the second quarter, the level of activity decreased, which is also reflected in client treasury income.

Non-client treasury revenues increased $3.3 \%$ QoQ and $682.1 \%$ YoY. Falling local interest rates produced positive mark-to-market gains from our liquidity fixed income portfolios in the quarter. As a reminder, 2Q16 results included an abnormally high charge recognized for the credit value adjustment (CVA) of the derivatives portfolio, following the Brexit vote. CVA is an estimation of the market value of counterparty credit risk embedded in derivatives.

## Santander

## Operating expenses and efficiency

## Efficiency ratio improves to $40.2 \%$ in 1H17. Sustained rise in productivity

The Bank's efficiency ratio reached $40.2 \%$ in 1 H 17 compared to $42.7 \%$ in the same period of last year. Operating expenses, excluding Impairment and Other operating expenses, increased $3.4 \%$ QoQ and $1.4 \%$ YoY. The relatively low cost growth, below the YoY variation of the CPI Index despite the fact that the most of our expenses are adjusted by inflation, is a direct consequence of the various initiatives that the Bank has been implementing to improve commercial productivity and efficiency. The success of our on-going digital and branch transformation is resulting in higher labor productivity.

## Operating expenses

(Ch\$ Million)

|  | Quarter |  |  | Change\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2Q17 | 1Q17 | 2Q16 | 2Q17 / 2Q16 | 2Q17 / 1Q17 |
| Personnel salaries and expenses | $(101,350)$ | $(92,676)$ | $(101,217)$ | 0.1\% | 9.4\% |
| Administrative expenses | $(54,383)$ | $(58,482)$ | $(54,991)$ | (1.1\%) | (7.0\%) |
| Depreciation \& amortization | $(18,778)$ | $(17,622)$ | $(15,843)$ | 18.5\% | 6.6\% |
| Operating expenses ${ }^{1}$ | $(174,511)$ | $(168,780)$ | $(172,051)$ | 1.4\% | 3.4\% |
| Impairment of property, plant and equipment | (165) | (184) | (49) | 239.5\% | (10.3\%) |
| Branches | 406 | 415 | 468 | (13.2\%) | (2.2\%) |
| Standard | 264 | 272 | 278 | (5.0\%) | (0.4\%) |
| WorkCafé | 7 | 6 | - | --\% | 16.7\% |
| Middle-market centers | 8 | 8 | 8 | --\% | --\% |
| Select | 53 | 53 | 54 | (1.9\%) | --\% |
| Banefe \& other payment centers | 74 | 83 | 128 | (42.2\%) | (10.8\%) |
| ATMs | 1,059 | 1,279 | 1,484 | (28.6\%) | (17.2\%) |
| Employees | 11,068 | 11,229 | 11,653 | (5.0\%) | (1.4\%) |
| Efficiency ratio ${ }^{2}$ | 40.4\% | 40.0\% | 43.8\% | -342bp | +42bp |
| YTD Efficiency ratio ${ }^{2}$ | 40.2\% | 40.0\% | 42.7\% | -251bp | +21bp |
| Volumes per branch (Ch\$mn) ${ }^{3}$ | 114,313 | 114,606 | 99,651 | 14.7\% | (0.3\%) |
| Volumes per employee (Ch\$mn) ${ }^{4}$ | 4,193 | 4,236 | 4,002 | 4.8\% | (1.0\%) |
| YTD Cost / Assets ${ }^{5}$ | 1.9\% | 1.8\% | 1.9\% | - 3bp | 6bp |

1. Excluding Impairment and Other operating expenses.
2. Efficiency ratio: Operating expenses excluding impairment and other operating expenses divided by Operating income. Operating income $=$ Net interest income + Net fee and commission income + Total financial transactions, net + Other operating income minus other operating expenses.
3. Loans + deposits over total branches.
4. Loans + deposits over total employees.
5. Operating expenses as defined in footnote 1 above, annualized / Total assets.

Personnel expenses increased $0.1 \%$ YoY in 2Q17. The slight increase in personnel expenses is mainly due to the rise in salaries as they are adjusted according to CPI inflation. However this has been offset by a $5.0 \%$ decrease in total headcount in the last twelve months while total volumes (loans plus deposits) per branch increased $14.7 \%$ YoY and total volumes per employee increased $4.8 \% \mathrm{YoY}$.

## Santander

Administrative expenses decreased 1.1\% YoY in 2Q17. The Bank's digital transformation and new branch formats are starting to sustain productivity gains and improved customer satisfaction. Therefore, the Bank has been reducing the branch network, closing 62 branches in the last year, mainly in the Santander Banefe segment. In total, in the last twelve months, $13.2 \%$ of the Bank's branch network was closed and the Bank also continued to remove money losing ATMs eliminating $28.6 \%$ of our ATMs. An increase in transactions through channels such as internet, mobile and phone banking have replaced this. We have transformed a total of 7 branches into our new WorkCafé format that is significantly more productive than a traditional branch. At the same time, we continue to re-model the standard branches to a new multi-segment format that is also more efficient in terms of back office and use of space, resulting in a significant reduction of the branch network. The effectiveness of the Bank's CRM has also increased productivity, as well as the implementation of other digital initiatives.


Amortization expenses increased $18.5 \%$ YoY. This rise was mainly due to the investment in software and digital banking the Bank is carrying out as part of our plan to improve productivity and efficiency.

## Other operating income, net \& corporate tax

Other operating income, net, totaled an expense of $\mathrm{Ch} \$ 19,132$ million in 2Q17, an net loss increase of $230.0 \%$ compared to 1 Q17 which is mainly explained by the one-time charge of $\mathrm{Ch} \$ 12$ billion related to severance expenses as part of the Bank's efforts to control costs. A similar charge was recognized in 2Q16. Compared to 2Q16, Other operating income increase by $248 \%$ due to the liberation of provisions for contingencies in the period.

## Other operating income, net and corporate tax <br> (Ch\$ Million)

|  | Quarter |  |  | Change\% |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 Q 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 Q 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 Q 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 Q 1 7} / \mathbf{2 Q 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 Q 1 7} / \mathbf{1 Q 1 7}$ |
| Other operating income | 16,049 | 13,019 | 4,611 | $248.0 \%$ | $23.3 \%$ |
| Other operating expenses | $(35,181)$ | $(18,817)$ | $(32,010)$ | $9.9 \%$ | $87.0 \%$ |
| Other operating income, net | $\mathbf{( 1 9 , 1 3 2 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 5 , 7 9 8 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 2 7 , 3 9 9 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 3 0 . 2 \% )}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 0 . 0 \%}$ |
| Income from investments in associates | 885 | 720 | 641 | $38.2 \%$ | $22.9 \%$ |
| Income tax income (expense) | $\mathbf{( 3 1 , 1 4 3 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 3 7 , 2 0 8 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 2 1 , 1 1 4 )}$ | $\mathbf{4 7 . 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{( 1 6 . 3 \% )}$ |
| Effective income tax rate | $\mathbf{1 7 . 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 . 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 . 3 \%}$ |  |  |

Income tax expenses in 2 Q 17 totaled $\mathrm{Ch} \$ 31,143$ million, a decrease of $16.3 \%$ compared to 1 Q 17 and an increase of $47.5 \%$ compared to 2Q16. On a QoQ basis, the lower effective tax rate is a seasonal effect mainly due to the deduction of real estate taxes paid on assets for leasing, which usually occurs in 2 Q . In 1 H 17 , the Bank paid an effective tax rate of $18.9 \%$ compared to $17.3 \%$ in 1 H 16 . The rise in the effective tax rate was mainly due to: (i) the higher statutory tax rate. The statutory corporate tax rate in 2017 increased to $25.5 \%$ compared to $24.0 \%$ in 2016 and; (ii) the lower CPI inflation rate in $1 \mathrm{H} 17(+1.2 \%)$ compared to $1 \mathrm{H} 16(+1.7 \%)$, which results in a lower price level restatement charge to taxable income, since for tax purposes, the Bank must readjust its capital for inflation. The Bank's effective tax rate should be approximately $20 \%-21 \%$ in 2017. The statutory corporate tax rate will rise again to 27.0\% in 2018.

```
YTD income tax }\mp@subsup{}{}{1
(Ch$ Million)
```

|  | Quarter |  | Change\% |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\mathbf{6 M 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{6 M 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{6 M 1 7} / \mathbf{6 M 1 6}$ |
| Net income before tax | 362,062 | 293,578 | $23.3 \%$ |
| Price level restatement of capital ${ }^{2}$ | $(13,571)$ | $(14,960)$ | $\mathbf{9 . 3 \%})$ |
| Net income before tax adjusted for <br> price level restatement | $\mathbf{3 4 8 , 4 9 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 8 , 6 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 . 1 \%}$ |
| Statutory Tax rate | $25,5 \%$ | $24,0 \%$ |  |
| Income tax expense at Statutory rate $^{\text {Tax benefits }}{ }^{3}$ | $(92,326)$ | $(70,459)$ | $31.0 \%$ |
| Income tax $^{\text {Effective tax rate }}$ | 23,975 | 19,683 | $21.8 \%$ |
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## Section 6: Credit risk ratings

## International ratings

The Bank has credit ratings from three leading international agencies. Following the reduction in Chile's sovereign rating from AA- to A+, S6P placed the Bank's ratings on credit watch negative.

| Moody's | Rating |
| :--- | :---: |
| Bank Deposit | Aa3/P-1 |
| Baseline Credit Assessment | a 2 |
| Adjusted Baseline Credit Assessment | a 2 |
| Senior Unsecured | $\mathrm{Aa3}$ |
| Commercial Paper | $\mathrm{P}-1$ |
| Outlook | Stable |
|  |  |
| Standard and Poor's | Rating |
| Long-term Foreign Issuer Credit | A |
| Long-term Local Issuer Credit | A |
| Short-term Foreign Issuer Credit | $\mathrm{A}-1$ |
| Short-term Local Issuer Credit | $\mathrm{A}-1$ |
| Outlook | Negative |
|  |  |
| Fitch | Rating |
| Foreign Currency Long-term Debt | $\mathrm{A}+$ |
| Local Currency Long-term Debt | $\mathrm{A}+$ |
| Foreign Currency Short-term Debt | F1 |
| Local Currency Short-term Debt | F1 |
| Viability rating | $\mathrm{a}+$ |
| Outlook | Negative |

## Local ratings

Our local ratings are the following:

| Local ratings | Fitch Ratings | Feller Rate |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Shares | 1CN1 | 1CN1 |
| Short-term deposits | N1+ | N1+ |
| Long-term deposits | AAA | AAA |
| Mortgage finance bonds | AAA | AAA |
| Senior bonds | AAA | AAA |
| Subordinated bonds | AA | AA+ |

## Section 7: Share performance

As of June 30, 2017
Ownership Structure:


## Total Shareholder Return

Santander ADR vs. SP500 (Base 100 = 12/31/2016)


## ADR price (US\$) 1H17

6/30/17
25.41

Maximum (6M17): 25.52
Minimum (6M17): 21.36
Market Capitalization: US $\$ 11,971$ million
P/E 12month trailing*: 16.2
P/BV (3/31/16)**: $\quad 2.7$
Dividend yield***: 4.5\%

* Price as of June 30, 2017 / 12mth. earnings
** Price as of June 30, 2017/Book value as of 6/30/17
***Based on closing price on record date of last dividend payment.

Average daily traded volumes 1H17
US\$ million


Total Shareholder Return
Santander vs IPSA Index (Base 100 = 12/31/2016)


| Local share price (Ch\$) $\mathbf{1 H 1 7}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| 6/30/17: | 42.24 |
| Maximum (6M17): | 42.38 |
| Minimum (6M17): | 34.65 |

## Dividends:

| Year paid | Ch\$/share | \% of previous year's <br> earnings |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 2014: | 1.41 | $60 \%$ |
| 2015: | 1.75 | $60 \%$ |
| 2016: | 1.79 | $75 \%$ |
| 2017: | 1.75 | $70 \%$ |

## Annex 1: New Banking Law

## Banking Law reform bill sent to Congress for discussion in June 2017

In June 2017, the government sent to Congress for discussion a bill to reform the current General Banking Law. The bill proposes the following main changes: i) the creation of a new regulatory body for the financial system; ii) new capital regulation for banks in Chile in line with Basel III standards. The bill also proposes changes in the mechanism to intervene and manage banks under stress or that do not comply with regulation adding to existing options such as Capitalization by the Financial System and Preventive Capitalization. It also increases government guarantees for deposits and sets forth greater demands on bank's board members.

## New regulatory entity

The proposed bill recognizes as the new regulatory entity the newly created Financial Market Commission (FMC), which was approved earlier this year in Law \#21,000. The FMC will be the new supervisor for the Chilean financial system overseeing insurance companies, companies with publicly traded securities, credit unions, credit card and prepaid card issuers, and banks. All current SBIF attributions will be transferred to the FMC.

The FMC will be ruled by a five member board, one of which act as a Chairman. The board's responsibilities include regulation, sanctioning and the definition of general supervision policies. In addition there will be a prosecutor in charge of investigations and the Chairman will be responsible for supervision. The FMC will act in coordination with the Chilean Central Bank (BCCh).

## Capital regulation

Minimum capital requirements will increase in terms of amount and quality. Total Regulatory Capital remains at 8\% of risk weighted assets (RWA). Minimum Tier 1 capital increases from $4.5 \%$ to $6 \%$ of RWA, of which up to $1.5 \%$ may be Additional Tier 1 (AT1). The latter can be fulfilled with preferred shares or perpetual bonds, both of which may be convertible to common equity. The FMC will establish the conditions and requirements for the issuance of perpetual bonds and preferred equity. Tier 2 capital will be set at $2 \%$ of RWA.

Additional capital demands are incorporated through a Conservation Buffer of $2.5 \%$ of RWA that sets a Total Equity Requirement of $10.5 \%$ of RWA. As well, the BCCh may set an additional Counter Cyclical Buffer of up to $2.5 \%$ of RWA with agreement from the FMC. Both buffers must be comprised of core capital. The FMC, with agreement from the BCCh, may impose additional capital requirements for Systemically Important Banks (SIB) of between 1-3.5\% of RWA. The FMC will have to establish the criteria to assess which banks are considered as SIBs.

The proposed bill also incorporates a Pilar II capital requirement created with the objective of assuring an adequate management of risk. The FMC will have the power to impose an additional regulatory capital demand of up to $4 \%$ of RWA, either Tier I or Tier II, if it esteems that the previous capital levels and buffers are not enough for a financial institution.

The following table sets forth the new proposed capital requirements in comparison to Basel III standards and current Chilean regulation:

## Capital requirements: Basel III, current GBL and new proposed requirements

| (\% over risk weighted assets) | Basel III | Current Law | Proposed Bill |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Capital categories | 6 | 4.5 | 6 |
| (1) Total Tier 1 Capital (2+3) | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| (2) Basic Capital | 1.5 | - | 1.5 |
| (3) Additional Tier 1 Capital (AT1) | 2 | 3.5 | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| (4) Tier 2 Capital | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ |
| (5) Total Regulatory Capital (1+4) | 2.5 | 2\% over effective equity <br> in order to be classified <br> in Category A solvency. | $\mathbf{2 . 5}$ |
| (6) Conservation Buffer | $\mathbf{1 0 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 . 5}$ |
| (7) Total Equity Requirement (5+6) | up to 2.5 | - | up to 2.5 |
| (8) Counter Cyclical Buffer | Between 1-3.5 | Up to 6\% in case of a | Between 1-3.5 |
| (9) SIB* Requirement |  |  |  |

* Systemically Important Banks

The FMC will establish weightings for RWA as a separate regulation based on the implementation of standard models, subject to agreement from the BCCh. The FMC will have until December 31 of the next year in which the bill is passed to establish the weightings. However, banks will be allowed to use internal models to define RWA, subject to approval from the FMC with agreement from the BCCh. In this case, calculated requirements will have to be within the limits set by the FMC.

Compliance with the new capital requirements will have a phase-in period with full compliance by 2024 (subject to further discussions in Congress). The following table sets forth an estimated phase-in period calendar, assuming the proposed bill is passed in 2017.

## Phase-in*: new capital requirements

(\% over risk weighted assets, all dates as of December 31)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 4}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) Tier 1 (2+3) | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.75 | 5 | 5.25 | 5.5 | 5.75 | 6 |
| (2) Basic Capital | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| (3) AT1 | 0 | 0 | 0.25 | 0.5 | 0.75 | 1 | 1.25 | 1.5 |
| (4) Tier 2 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.25 | 3 | 2.75 | 2.5 | 2.25 | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| (5) Regulatory Capital (1+4) | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ |
| (6) Conservation Buffer | 0 | 0.36 | 0.71 | 1.07 | 1.43 | 1.79 | 2.14 | $\mathbf{2 . 5}$ |
| (7) Total Equity Requirement (5+6) | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{8 . 3 6}$ | $\mathbf{8 . 7 1}$ | $\mathbf{9 . 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{9 . 4 3}$ | $\mathbf{9 . 7 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 . 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 . 5}$ |
| (8) Counter Cyclical Buffer | 0 |  |  |  | up to 2.5 |  |  |  |
| (9) SIB* Requirement | 0 |  |  |  | Between 1-3.5 |  |  |  |
| (10) Pilar II requirement (FMC) |  |  |  | up to 4 |  |  |  |  |

[^9]Santander

## Annex 2: Balance sheet

## Unaudited Balance Sheet

|  | Jun-17 | Jun-17 | Dec-16 | Jun-16 | Jun-17/Dec16 | Jun-17/Jun-16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | US\$ Ths ${ }^{1}$ | Ch\$ Million |  |  | \% Chg. |  |
| Cash and deposits in banks | 2,024,771 | 1,344,043 | 2,279,389 | 2,164,211 | (41.0\%) | (37.9\%) |
| Cash items in process of collection | 646,635 | 429,236 | 495,283 | 773,774 | (13.3\%) | (44.5\%) |
| Trading investments | 1,055,038 | 700,334 | 396,987 | 387,554 | 76.4\% | 80.7\% |
| Investments under resale agreements | - |  | 6,736 | 8,168 | --\% | (100.0\%) |
| Financial derivative contracts | 3,337,834 | 2,215,654 | 2,500,782 | 3,001,807 | (11.4\%) | (26.2\%) |
| Interbank loans, net | 354,794 | 235,512 | 272,635 | 236,345 | (13.6\%) | (0.4\%) |
| Loans and account receivables from | 39,350,813 | 26,121,070 | 26,113,485 | 25,368,817 | 0.0\% | 3.0\% |
| Available for sale investments | 3,268,823 | 2,169,845 | 3,388,906 | 2,391,465 | (36.0\%) | (9.3\%) |
| Held-to-maturity investments | - | - | - | - | --\% | --\% |
| Investments in associates and other | 37,932 | 25,179 | 23,780 | 22,254 | 5.9\% | 13.1\% |
| Intangible assets | 89,399 | 59,343 | 58,085 | 55,564 | 2.2\% | 6.8\% |
| Property, plant and equipment | 369,236 | 245,099 | 257,379 | 233,066 | (4.8\%) | 5.2\% |
| Current taxes | 8,992 | 5,969 | - | - | --\% | --\% |
| Deferred taxes | 545,253 | 361,939 | 372,699 | 337,915 | (2.9\%) | 7.1\% |
| Other assets | 1,345,597 | 893,207 | 840,499 | 1,142,827 | 6.3\% | (21.8\%) |
| Total Assets | 52,435,116 | 34,806,430 | 37,006,645 | 36,123,767 | (5.9\%) | (3.6\%) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deposits and other demand liabilities | 10,840,453 | 7,195,893 | 7,539,315 | 7,238,303 | (4.6\%) | (0.6\%) |
| Cash items in process of being cleared | 389,355 | 258,454 | 288,473 | 529,784 | (10.4\%) | (51.2\%) |
| Obligations under repurchase agreements | 219,298 | 145,570 | 212,437 | 31,005 | (31.5\%) | 369.5\% |
| Time deposits and other time liabilities | 18,167,044 | 12,059,284 | 13,151,709 | 12,997,791 | (8.3\%) | (7.2\%) |
| Financial derivatives contracts | 3,104,307 | 2,060,639 | 2,292,161 | 2,848,418 | (10.1\%) | (27.7\%) |
| Interbank borrowings | 2,758,144 | 1,830,856 | 1,916,368 | 1,952,761 | (4.5\%) | (6.2\%) |
| Issued debt instruments | 10,614,263 | 7,045,748 | 7,326,372 | 6,369,956 | (3.8\%) | 10.6\% |
| Other financial liabilities | 368,518 | 244,622 | 240,016 | 216,741 | 1.9\% | 12.9\% |
| Current taxes | - | - | 29,294 | 4,796 | --\% | --\% |
| Deferred taxes | 12,510 | 8,304 | 7,686 | 11,136 | 8.0\% | (25.4\%) |
| Provisions | 359,696 | 238,766 | 308,982 | 223,799 | (22.7\%) | 6.7\% |
| Other liabilities | 1,194,616 | 792,986 | 795,785 | 963,571 | (0.4\%) | (17.7\%) |
| Total Liabilities | 48,028,204 | 31,881,122 | 34,108,598 | 33,388,061 | (6.5\%) | (4.5\%) |


| Equity |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Capital | 1,342,728 | 891,303 | 891,303 | 891,303 | --\% | --\% |
| Reserves | 2,684,269 | 1,781,817 | 1,640,112 | 1,640,112 | --\% | 8.6\% |
| Valuation adjustments | 25,853 | 17,162 | 6,640 | 4,053 | --\% | 323.4\% |
| Retained Earnings: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retained earnings from prior years | - | - | - | - | --\% | --\% |
| Income for the period | 441,113 | 292,811 | 472,351 | 241,739 | (38.0\%) | 21.1\% |
| Minus: Provision for mandatory dividends | $(132,334)$ | $(87,843)$ | $(141,700)$ | $(72,522)$ | (38.0\%) | 21.1\% |
| Total Shareholders' Equity | 4,361,630 | 2,895,250 | 2,868,706 | 2,704,685 | 0.9\% | 7.0\% |
| Non-controlling interest | 45,282 | 30,058 | 29,341 | 31,021 | 2.4\% | (3.1\%) |
| Total Equity | 4,406,912 | 2,925,308 | 2,898,047 | 2,735,706 | 0.9\% | 6.9\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Liabilities and Equity | 52,435,116 | 34,806,430 | 37,006,645 | 36,123,767 | (5.9\%) | (3.6\%) |

1. The exchange rate used to calculate the figures in dollars was Ch\$663.80 / US\$1

## Annex 3: YTD income statements

Unaudited YTD Income Statement

|  | Jun-17 | Jun-17 | Jun-16 | Jun-17/Jun-16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | US\$ Ths ${ }^{1}$ | Ch\$ Million |  | \% Chg. |
| Interest income | 1,619,227 | 1,074,843 | 1,074,937 | --\% |
| Interest expense | $(621,021)$ | $(412,234)$ | $(433,627)$ | (4.9\%) |
| Net interest income | 998,206 | 662,609 | 641,310 | 3.3\% |
| Fee and commission income | 347,788 | 230,862 | 210,155 | 9.9\% |
| Fee and commission expense | $(129,860)$ | $(86,201)$ | $(83,292)$ | 3.5\% |
| Net fee and commission income | 217,929 | 144,661 | 126,863 | 14.0\% |
| Net income (expense) from financial operations | 7,380 | 4,899 | $(133,993)$ | --\% |
| Net foreign exchange gain | 101,293 | 67,238 | 196,115 | (65.7\%) |
| Total financial transactions, net | 108,673 | 72,137 | 62,122 | 16.1\% |
| Other operating income | 43,790 | 29,068 | 9,859 | 194.8\% |
| Net operating profit before provisions for loan losses | 1,368,597 | 908,475 | 840,154 | 8.1\% |
| Provision for loan losses | $(226,532)$ | $(150,372)$ | $(161,362)$ | (6.8\%) |
| Net operating profit | 1,142,065 | 758,103 | 678,792 | 11.7\% |
| Personnel salaries and expenses | $(292,296)$ | $(194,026)$ | $(194,184)$ | (0.1\%) |
| Administrative expenses | $(170,029)$ | $(112,865)$ | $(113,685)$ | (0.7\%) |
| Depreciation and amortization | $(54,836)$ | $(36,400)$ | $(30,188)$ | 20.6\% |
| Op. expenses excl. Impairment and Other operating expenses | $(517,160)$ | $(343,291)$ | $(338,057)$ | 1.5\% |
| Impairment of property, plant and equipment | (526) | (349) | (85) | --\% |
| Other operating expenses | $(81,347)$ | $(53,998)$ | $(48,244)$ | 11.9\% |
| Total operating expenses | $(599,033)$ | $(397,638)$ | $(386,386)$ | 2.9\% |
| Operating income | 543,033 | 360,465 | 292,406 | 23.3\% |
| Income from investments in associates and other companies | 2,418 | 1,605 | 1,172 | 36.9\% |
| Income before tax | 545,450 | 362,070 | 293,578 | 23.3\% |
| Income tax expense | $(102,969)$ | $(68,351)$ | $(50,776)$ | 34.6\% |
| Net income from ordinary activities | 442,481 | 293,719 | 242,802 | 21.0\% |
| Net income discontinued operations | - | - | - | --\% |
| Net income attributable to: |  |  |  |  |
| Non-controlling interest | 1,368 | 908 | 1,063 | (14.6\%) |
| Net income attributable to equity holders of the Bank | 441,113 | 292,811 | 241,739 | 21.1\% |

1. The exchange rate used to calculate the figures in dollars was Ch\$663.80 / US\$1

## Annex 4: Quarterly income statements

Unaudited Quarterly Income Statement

|  | 2Q17 | 2Q17 | 1Q17 | 2 Q16 | 2Q17/2Q16 | 2Q17/1Q17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | US\$ Ths ${ }^{1}$ | Ch\$ Million |  |  | \% Chg. |  |
| Interest income | 829,881 | 550,875 | 523,968 | 556,208 | (1.0\%) | 5.1\% |
| Interest expense | $(311,601)$ | $(206,841)$ | $(205,393)$ | $(227,771)$ | (9.2\%) | 0.7\% |
| Net interest income | 518,280 | 344,034 | 318,575 | 328,437 | 4.7\% | 8.0\% |
| Fee and commission income | 174,099 | 115,567 | 115,295 | 105,647 | 9.4\% | 0.2\% |
| Fee and commission expense | $(65,877)$ | $(43,729)$ | $(42,472)$ | $(41,775)$ | 4.7\% | 3.0\% |
| Net fee and commission income | 108,222 | 71,838 | 72,823 | 63,872 | 12.5\% | (1.4\%) |
| Net income (expense) from financial operations | 5,458 | 3,623 | 1,276 | 45,706 | (92.1\%) | 183.9\% |
| Net foreign exchange gain | 47,879 | 31,782 | 35,456 | $(17,846)$ | --\% | (10.4\%) |
| Total financial transactions, net | 53,337 | 35,405 | 36,732 | 27,860 | 27.1\% | (3.6\%) |
| Other operating income | 24,177 | 16,049 | 13,019 | 4,611 | 248.0\% | 23.3\% |
| Net operating profit before provisions for loan losses | 704,016 | 467,326 | 441,149 | 424,780 | 10.0\% | 5.9\% |
| Provision for loan losses | $(115,261)$ | $(76,510)$ | $(73,862)$ | $(83,436)$ | (8.3\%) | 3.6\% |
| Net operating profit | 588,756 | 390,816 | 367,287 | 341,344 | 14.5\% | 6.4\% |
| Personnel salaries and expenses | $(152,682)$ | $(101,350)$ | $(92,676)$ | $(101,217)$ | 0.1\% | 9.4\% |
| Administrative expenses | $(81,927)$ | $(54,383)$ | $(58,482)$ | $(54,991)$ | (1.1\%) | (7.0\%) |
| Depreciation and amortization | $(28,289)$ | $(18,778)$ | $(17,622)$ | $(15,843)$ | 18.5\% | 6.6\% |
| Op. expenses excl. Impairment and Other operating expenses | $(262,897)$ | $(174,511)$ | $(168,780)$ | $(172,051)$ | 1.4\% | 3.4\% |
| Impairment of property, plant and equipment | (249) | (165) | (184) | (48) | --\% | (10.3\%) |
| Other operating expenses | $(52,999)$ | $(35,181)$ | $(18,817)$ | $(32,010)$ | 9.9\% | 87.0\% |
| Total operating expenses | $(316,145)$ | $(209,857)$ | $(187,781)$ | $(204,109)$ | 2.8\% | 11.8\% |
| Operating income | 272,611 | 180,959 | 179,506 | 137,235 | 31.9\% | 0.8\% |
| Income from investments in associates and other companies | 1,333 | 885 | 720 | 641 | 38.2\% | 22.9\% |
| Income before tax | 273,944 | 181,844 | 180,226 | 137,876 | 31.9\% | 0.9\% |
| Income tax expense | $(46,916)$ | $(31,143)$ | $(37,208)$ | $(21,114)$ | 47.5\% | (16.3\%) |
| Net income from ordinary activities | 227,028 | 150,701 | 143,018 | 116,762 | 29.1\% | 5.4\% |
| Net income discontinued operations | - | - | - | - | --\% | --\% |
| Net income attributable to: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-controlling interest | 399 | 265 | 643 | 462 | (42.6\%) | (58.8\%) |
| Net income attributable to equity holders of the Bank | 226,629 | 150,436 | 142,375 | 116,300 | 29.4\% | 5.7\% |

1. The exchange rate used to calculate the figures in dollars was $\mathrm{Ch} \$ 663.80$ / US\$1

## Annex 5: Quarterly evolution of main ratios and other information

(Ch\$ millions)

| Loans | Jun-16 | Sep-16 | Dec-16 | Mar-17 | Jun-17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Consumer loans | 4,239,461 | 4,311,786 | 4,446,803 | 4,502,447 | 4,469,821 |
| Residential mortgage loans | 8,321,626 | 8,471,975 | 8,619,356 | 8,747,324 | 8,861,371 |
| Commercial loans | 13,602,948 | 13,807,911 | 13,867,465 | 13,850,836 | 13,589,218 |
| Interbank loans | 236,532 | 276,703 | 272,807 | 352,044 | 235,614 |
| Total loans (including interbank) | 26,400,567 | 26,868,375 | 27,206,431 | 27,452,650 | 27,156,024 |
| Allowance for loan losses | $(795,405)$ | $(812,707)$ | $(820,311)$ | $(806,005)$ | $(799,442)$ |
| Total loans, net of allowances | 25,605,162 | 26,055,668 | 26,386,120 | 26,646,646 | 26,356,582 |
| Deposits |  |  |  |  |  |
| Demand deposits | 7,238,303 | 6,913,452 | 7,539,315 | 7,408,618 | 7,195,893 |
| Time deposits | 12,997,791 | 13,126,798 | 13,151,709 | 12,700,210 | 12,059,284 |
| Total deposits | 20,236,094 | 20,040,250 | 20,691,024 | 20,108,828 | 19,255,177 |
| Mutual funds (Off balance sheet) | 4,881,450 | 5,269,815 | 5,026,068 | 5,489,733 | 5,562,941 |
| Total customer funds | 25,117,544 | 25,310,065 | 25,717,092 | 25,598,561 | 24,818,118 |
| Loans / Deposits ${ }^{1}$ | 95.1\% | 95.6\% | 92.1\% | 95.7\% | 100.3\% |


| Average balances | $28,628,066$ | $28,979,918$ | $29,901,912$ | $30,381,349$ | $29,917,800$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Avg. interest earning assets | $25,980,829$ | $26,550,078$ | $26,952,880$ | $27,246,674$ | $27,036,649$ |
| Avg. Loans from reporting segments | $35,195,160$ | $35,869,635$ | $36,163,077$ | $36,629,695$ | $35,860,060$ |
| Avg. assets | $7,280,495$ | $7,132,397$ | $7,094,735$ | $7,370,951$ | $7,195,893$ |
| Avg. demand deposits | $2,714,063$ | $2,755,631$ | $2,833,913$ | $2,914,173$ | $2,887,236$ |
| Avg equity | $9,994,558$ | $9,888,028$ | $9,928,649$ | $10,285,124$ | $10,339,020$ |
| Avg. free funds |  |  |  |  |  |

Capitalization

| Risk weighted assets | $26,876,727$ | $27,130,807$ | $27,237,835$ | $27,492,643$ | 27.133 .274 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Tier I (Shareholders' equity) | $2,704,685$ | $2,794,109$ | $2,868,706$ | $2,968,491$ | $2,895,250$ |
| Tier II | 781,772 | 786,936 | 789,001 | 792,549 | 799,032 |
| Regulatory capital | $3,486,457$ | $3,581,046$ | $3,657,707$ | $\mathbf{3 , 7 6 1 , 0 4 0}$ | $3,694, \mathbf{2 8 2}$ |
| Tier I ratio | $\mathbf{1 0 . 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 . 3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 . 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 . 8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 . 7 \%}$ |
| BIS ratio | $\mathbf{1 3 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 . 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 . 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 . 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 . 6 \%}$ |


| Net interest margin (NIM) ${ }^{2}$ | 4.6\% | 4.5\% | 4.2\% | 4.2\% | 4.6\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Client NIM ${ }^{3}$ | 4.7\% | 4.9\% | 4.8\% | 4.8\% | 5.0\% |
| Efficiency ratio ${ }^{4}$ | 43.8\% | 41.1\% | 44.3\% | 40.0\% | 40.4\% |
| Costs / assets ${ }^{5}$ | 1.9\% | 1.9\% | 1.9\% | 1.8\% | 1.9\% |
| Avg. Demand deposits / interest earning assets | 25.4\% | 24.6\% | 23.7\% | 24.3\% | 24.1\% |
| Return on avg. equity | 17.1\% | 17.7\% | 15.3\% | 19.5\% | 20.8\% |
| Return on avg. assets | 1.3\% | 1.4\% | 1.2\% | 1.6\% | 1.6\% |
| Return on RWA | 1.7\% | 1.8\% | 1.6\% | 2.1\% | 2.2\% |

(Ch\$ millions)

|  | Jun-16 | Sep-16 | Dec-16 | Mar-17 | Jun-17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Asset quality |  |  |  |  |  |
| Impaired loans ${ }^{6}$ | 1,645,082 | 1,594,267 | 1,615,441 | 1,667,145 | 1,705,257 |
| Non-performing loans (NPLs) ${ }^{7}$ | 566,177 | 556,965 | 564,131 | 594,855 | 587,107 |
| Past due loans ${ }^{8}$ | 340,761 | 336,337 | 324,312 | 330,207 | 260,830 |
| Loan loss reserves | 795,405 | 812,707 | 820,311 | 806,005 | 799,442 |
| Impaired loans / total loans | 6.2\% | 5.9\% | 5.9\% | 6.1\% | 6.3\% |
| NPLs / total loans | 2.1\% | 2.1\% | 2.1\% | 2.2\% | 2.2\% |
| PDL / total loans | 1.3\% | 1.3\% | 1.2\% | 1.2\% | 0.96\% |
| Coverage of NPLs (Loan loss allowance / NPLs) | 140.5\% | 145.9\% | 145.4\% | 135.5\% | 136.2\% |
| Coverage of PDLs (Loan loss allowance / PDLs) | 233.4\% | 241.6\% | 252.9\% | 244.1\% | 306.5\% |
| Risk index (Loan loss allowances / Loans) ${ }^{9}$ | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 2.9\% |
| Cost of credit (prov expense annualized / avg. | 1.3\% | 1.4\% | 1.3\% | 1.1\% | 1.1\% |

Network

| Branches | $\mathbf{4 6 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 6 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 3 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| ATMs | 1,484 | 1,406 | 1,295 | 1,288 | 1,059 |
| Employees | 11,653 | 11,557 | 11,354 | 11,229 | 11,068 |


| Market information (period-end) | $\mathbf{0 . 6 2}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 6 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 5 8}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 7 6}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 8 0}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Net income per share (Ch\$) | $\mathbf{0 . 3 7}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 3 9}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 3 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 4 6}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 4 8}$ |
| Net income per ADR (US\$) | 31.92 | 34.04 | 37.26 | 41.37 | $\mathbf{4 2 . 2 4}$ |
| Stock price | 19.37 | 20.69 | 21.87 | 25.08 | $\mathbf{2 5 . 4 1}$ |
| ADR price | 9,126 | 9,747 | 10,303 | 11,816 | $\mathbf{1 1 , 9 7 1}$ |
| Market capitalization (US\$mn) | $188,446.1$ | $188,446.1$ | $\mathbf{1 8 8 , 4 4 6 . 1}$ | $188,446.1$ | $\mathbf{1 8 8 , 4 4 6}$ |
| Shares outstanding | 471.1 | 471.1 | 471.1 | 471.1 | $\mathbf{4 7 1}$ |
| ADRs (1 ADR $=400$ shares) |  |  |  |  |  |

Other Data

| Quarterly inflation rate $^{10}$ | $0.9 \%$ | $0.6 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ | $0.7 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Central Bank monetary policy reference rate | $3.50 \%$ | $3.50 \%$ | $3.50 \%$ | $3.00 \%$ | $2.50 \%$ |
| Observed Exchange rate (Ch\$/US\$) (period-end) | 661.49 | 659.08 | 660.00 | 662.66 | 663.80 |

1. Ratio $=$ (Net Loans - portion of mortgages funded with long-term bonds) $/$ (Time deposits + demand deposits)
2. NIM = Net interest income annualized divided by interest earning assets
3. Client NIM = Net interest income from reporting segments annualized over average loans
4. Efficiency ratio $=($ Net interest income + net fee and commission income +financial transactions net + Other operating income +other operating expenses) divided by (Personnel expenses + administrative expenses + depreciation). Excludes impairment charges
5. Costs $/$ assets $=($ Personnel expenses + adm. Expenses + depreciation $) /$ Total assets


 excluding performing residential mortgage loans, and (ii) if the loan that is non-performing or renegotiated is a residential mortgage loan, all loans to that client.
6. Capital + future interest of all loans with one installment 90 days or more overdue.
7. Total installments plus lines of credit more than 90 days overdue.
8. Based on internal credit models and SBIF guidelines. Banks must have a $100 \%$ coverage of risk index.
9. Calculated using the variation of the Unidad de Fomento (UF) in the period.

[^0]:    1 NIM = Net interest income annualized divided by interest earning assets.
    2. Efficiency ratio: Operating expenses excluding impairment and other operating expenses divided by Operating income. Operating income $=$ Net interest income + Net fee and commission income + Total financial transactions, net + Other operating income minus other operating expenses.
    3. Capital + future interest of all loans with one installment 90 days or more overdue divided by total loans.
    4. Loan loss allowance divided by Capital + future interest of all loans with one installment 90 days or more overdue.
    5. Provision expense annualized divided by average loans

[^1]:    The information contained in this report is unaudited and is presented in accordance with Chilean Bank GAAP as defined by the Superintendency of Banks of Chile (SBIF).
    ROAE: Return on average equity: annualized quarterly net income attributable to shareholders divided by average equity attributable to shareholders in the quarter. Averages calculated using monthly figures.
    . Net contribution is defined as Net interest income + Net fee and commission income + Total financial transactions - provision for loan losses - operating expenses.
    . Retail banking = Individuals + Small and Mid-sized companies (SMEs).
    5. Annualized Net interest income divided by average interest earning assets.
    6. Annualized Net interest income minus annualized provisions divided by average interest earning assets.

[^2]:    7. Annualized provision for loan losses / average total loans. Averages are calculated using monthly figures.
    8. Clients with $>4$ products plus minimum usage and profitability levels.
[^3]:    9. Efficiency ratio: Operating expenses excluding impairment and other operating expenses divided by Operating income. Operating income $=$ Net interest income + Net fee and commission income + Total financial transactions, net + Other operating income minus other operating expenses.
    10. Core capital ratio $=$ Shareholders' equity divided by risk-weighted assets according to SBIF BIS I definitions.
    11. BIS ratio: Regulatory capital divided by RWA.
[^4]:    1. Banco Santander Chile is the exclusive broker of mutual funds managed by Santander Asset Management S.A. Administradora General de Fondos, a subsidiary of SAM Investment Holdings Limited.
    2. Ratio =(Net Loans - portion of mortgages funded with long-term bonds) / (Time deposits + demand deposits). The Bank's mortgage loans are mainly fixed-rate long-term loans that we mainly finance with matching long-term funding and not with short-term deposits. For this reason, to calculate this ratio, we subtract residential mortgage loans in the numerator of our ratio.
    3. Liquidity Coverage Ratio calculated according to ECB rules. Chilean LCR ratios are still under construction.
    4. Net Stable Funding Ratio calculated using internal methodology. Chilean LCR ratios are still under construction.
[^5]:    12. Corresponds to interest expense paid on time deposits divided by average balance of time deposits
[^6]:     the financial cost of hedging, the financial cost of the Bank's structural liquidity position, NII from the available for sale portfolio and the interest expense to fund the Bank's trading investment portfolio. The interest from the Bank's financial investments classified as trading are recognized as Financial transactions net.

[^7]:    1. Loyal high income and middle income customers with 4 products plus a minimum profitability level and a minimum usage indicator. all differentiated by segment. SME + Middle-market cross-selling differentiated by client size using a point system that depends on number of products, usage of products and income net of risk.
[^8]:    1. This table is for informational purposes only. Please refer to note 12 in our interim financials for more details.
    2. For tax purposes, capital is indexed to CPI inflation. The statutory tax rate is applied over net income before tax adjusted for price level restatement.
    3. Mainly includes income tax credits from property taxes paid on leased assets as well as the impact from fluctuations in deferred tax assets and liabilities.
[^9]:    * Assuming the proposed bill is passed in 2017.

